

REMARKS

By the foregoing amendment the status of the related applications referred to on page 1 of the Substitute Specification has been updated.

Claims 1-42 remain in the application.

Restriction was required in the outstanding Office Action to one of the patentably distinct species of the prosthetic foot, foot keel and calf shank as set forth on pages 2 and 3 of the Office Action. Applicant was required to elect a single species of each of the prosthetic foot, foot keel and calf shank and to identify claims encompassing the elected species. Responsive to the requirement for election of species, Applicants provisionally elect for further prosecution on the merits species L of the prosthetic foot embodied in Figure 51. Further, Applicants provisionally elect the species A of the foot keel embodied in Figures 5 and 8. Applicants further elect with respect to the calf shank, species A, Figures 15 and 16.

Responsive to the requirement to identify claims directed to the elected species, Applicants indicate that claims 1, 2, 7, 8, 10, 11, 14, 16, 18, 19, 20, 25, 26, 28-30, 32, 34 and 36 are directed to the elected species. At least claims 1 and 19 are considered generic claims.

An early action on the merits to the claims directed to the elected species is requested.

Please charge any shortage in the fees due in connection with the filing

of this paper, including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account No. 01-2135 (Case No. 183.39735AX9) and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

/Ronald J. Shore/  
Ronald J. Shore

Registration No. 28,577  
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP

RJS/kmh